Main Bank 131 6th Avenue S. • P.O. Box 337 Waite Park, MN 56387



Sartell
2351 Connecticut Avenue
Sartell, MN 56377

August 18, 2015

ALG25'15 PM 1:33 BOARD

Mr. Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board National Credit Union Administration 1775 Duke Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3428

RE: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking for Member Business Loans, Part 723.

Dear Mr. Poliquin:

I am writing to the National Credit Union Administration today to urge you to withdraw the proposal to "modernize" the NCUA's member business lending regulation.

In the name of regulatory relief, the NCUA is expanding the ability of credit unions to make commercial loans in ways that were never approved by Congress. Despite attempts to pass legislation increasing credit unions' commercial lending authority, Congress has repeatedly rejected those attempts because additional commercial lending authority is inconsistent with the credit unions' tax exempt mission. The NCUA should not grant powers that Congress has regularly rejected.

Credit unions are membership-based organizations. They should focus on serving the needs of their individual members, and especially on individuals of modest means. This proposal gives credit unions the explicit authority to make non-member business loans. Why should credit unions be able to serve anyone outside their defined membership? That makes no sense for a membership-based organization. It is especially egregious that credit unions would have the authority to serve business entities that have no affiliation with the credit union. Not only can they serve them, the loans to these unaffiliated businesses do not even count toward the credit unions' Congressionally-mandated business lending cap. NCUA, with this proposal, you have gone too far.

This proposal is contrary to congressional intent to limit credit union business lending activity. In 1998, Congress instituted the credit union commercial lending cap, making it clear that credit unions should be focused on consumer lending, not commercial lending. The cap was put in place "to ensure that credit unions continue to fulfill their specified mission of meeting the credit and savings needs of consumers, especially persons of modest means, through an emphasis on consumer rather than business loans." By proposing this rule, the NCUA Board has disregarded Congress's clear intent.





Credit unions receive tax and regulatory advantages because they once served "people of modest means." If the benefit of tax-advantaged credit is supposed to support low- and moderate-income individuals, why would the NCUA continue expanding lending opportunities to commercial entities? Instead, the NCUA should work to ensure that credit union branching patterns, product offerings and advertisements support their tax exempt mission of serving low- and moderate-income people. The taxpayers subsidizing the credit union industry should get a better return on their subsidy. Giving taxadvantaged credit to corporations is poor public policy, which is why Congress repeatedly denied the credit union industry's requests.

Every credit union continues to enjoy their tax exemptions, even though many of them are no longer true to the original credit union mission. There are many examples, but the California credit union that recently committed to paying \$120 million for the naming rights on a professional basketball arena is a great example of how the credit unions abuse their tax advantages. If the NCUA were a true regulator, rather than a "cheerleader" for the credit union industry, it would reign in these types of excesses.

Over time, some credit unions have remained true to the original credit union model. They continue to have a tight common bond, and they continue to focus on serving the credit needs of individuals, and especially people of modest means. Other credit unions have become massive institutions serving wealthy people and corporations. Instead of limiting these non-traditional credit unions, the NCUA rewards them by giving them the additional authorities they want and by requiring no accountability with respect to their "common bond" and their true tax-exempt mission. This proposal proves why the NCUA was rightfully called a "cheerleader" for the credit union industry.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

William Eickhoff

President